#### EXHIBIT C

IN THE UNITED STATES I	ስተ <b>ረ</b> ሞው ፕሮሞ - ሮለየነውጥ
FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT	
KEVIN SO,	)
Plaintiff,	) )
•	) CASE NO. CV 08-3336 DDP (AGRx)
LAND BASE, LLC; UNIVEST FINANCIAL SERVICES, INC.; BORIS LOPATIN, individually and d/b/a BORIS LOPATIN ASSOCIATES; CHARLES W. WOODHEAD; KEVIN R. KONDAS, KEITH MILLAR; KM & ASSOCIATES INTERNATIONAL, LLC; KB&M PROJECTS, INTERNATIONAL, LLC; CTL PROJECTS INTERNATIONAL, LLC; SUILKEE KIM a/k/a CAMERON S. KIM; LUCY LU a/k/a LUCY YAN a/k/a LUCY YAN LU a/k/a YAN LU a/k/a YAN LUCY LU; HENRY YANG; MIRA MELTZER; JEFFREY M. MORITZ; and LAURENCE GERSCHEL a/k/a LAURENT GERSCHEL,	) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) )
and	, ) )
JOHN DOE DEFENDANTS 1-10	, ) }
Defendants.	)
Deposition of KEVIN SO, to behalf of the Defendants, Avenue of the Stars, Suita Los Angeles, California, 9:07 A.M., Friday, March before Deanna Deremo, Cer Shorthand Reporter No. 13	at 1999 e 400, commencing at 12, 2010, tified

do not testify. Just explain the issue; okay?	09:22
MS. TAN: Yeah. When you first asked, the	· .
interpreter didn't translate the term solicitor, and	
then later when she correct that into Chinese. Now,	,
he thinks when you talk to me in English, solicitor	09:22
do I understand? He said, "I don't understand," but	·
he means he doesn't understand English.	
MR. THIBODO: I appreciate you're trying	
to help, but please do not you're not permitted	
to testify about what Mr. So understands, or what he	09:22
believes. All I'm trying to establish is he was	<b>-</b>
represented by solicitors and barristers in the	
lawsuit in England.	
MR. KALBIAN: Okay. We'll stipulate to	
that.	09:23
BY MR. THIBODO:	<u> </u>
$oldsymbol{Q}$ Okay. Very good. All right. Did the	
people the professionals, the attorneys, the	
solicitors and barristers who represented you in	
England did they provide you from time to time	09:23
with Mandarin translations of written documents?	
A I like to hear the rendition in Mandarin	* **
one more time. I don't recall.	
Q Did you have an interpreter who	
accompanied you to England during the course of the	09:24
	MS. TAN: Yeah. When you first asked, the interpreter didn't translate the term solicitor, and then later when she correct that into Chinese. Now, he thinks when you talk to me in English, solicitor do I understand? He said, "I don't understand," but he means he doesn't understand English.  MR. THIBODO: I appreciate you're trying to help, but please do not you're not permitted to testify about what Mr. So understands, or what he believes. All I'm trying to establish is he was represented by solicitors and barristers in the lawsuit in England.  MR. KALBIAN: Okay. We'll stipulate to that.  BY MR. THIBODO:  Q Okay. Very good. All right. Did the people the professionals, the attorneys, the solicitors and barristers who represented you in England did they provide you from time to time with Mandarin translations of written documents?  A I like to hear the rendition in Mandarin one more time. I don't recall.  Q Did you have an interpreter who

		i
1	recover money from Mr. Brown?	10:36
2	A I don't understand the question. Could	
3.	you repeat?	
4	<b>Q</b> Did anyone ever tell you that the court in	-
5	England ordered that you and Ms. Lu were to	10:37
6	jointly any recovery against Mr. Brown, was to be	,
7	paid to you and Ms. Lu together?	
8 -	A I don't recall.	
9	<b>Q</b> Did you recover proceeds from Michael	
10	Brown let me strike that. Did you recover any	10:38
11	money from Michael Brown?	
12	A Yes.	
13 -	${f Q}$ Did you pay any part of that money to Lucy	THE STATE OF THE S
14 '	Lu?	·
15	A No.	10:38
16	$\mathbf{Q}$ Did you recover money as a result of the	
17	sale of an aircraft?	
18	A Yes.	
19	<b>Q</b> Was any part of that money paid to Lucy	
20	Lu?	10:38
21	A No.	
22	MR. THIBODO: I'd like to mark as Exhibit	
23	10, an October 14, 2007 letter from that's on	
24	Kalbian and Hagerty letterhead.	
25	(Exhibit 10 was marked for identification.)	10:39
Ĺ		

Page 176

	,		
1	BY MR. THIBODO:		10:39
2	$oldsymbol{Q}$ Mr. So, I'd like you to turn to the fifth		İ
3	page of this document, and tell me if that's your		
4	signature, please?		
5	A Yes.	•	10:40
6	Q Did someone translate this document for		
, <b>7</b>	you before you signed it, Mr. So?		
8	A I don't recall.		e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e
9	<b>Q</b> Was Mr. Hagerty present when you signed		**************************************
10	this document, Mr. So?		10:41
11	A I don't recall.		
12 .	Q Where next to your signature where it		
13	says 15 Oct, 2007, is that your handwriting, Mr. So?		
14	A Yes.	· .	
15	$\mathbf{Q}$ You were in England when you signed this		10:42
16	document; correct, Mr. So?		·
17	A During that time I believe so.		
18	Q Yes. You testified in the UK in the	÷	
19	English case against HSBC on October 15th, which is		
20	the date you signed this document; correct, Mr. So?		10:42
21	A I know it was around that time, but now, I		
22	don't know exactly what date.		
23	Q This you testified the day you	•	
24	signed this document, Mr. So this engagement		
25	letter with Kalbian and Hagerty was the first day		10:43
L			

		10.42
. 1	you testified at trial in England; isn't that true?	10:43
2	A I only recall that I testified during that	
3	period, but I don't recall exactly what day I	
4	appeared in court to testify.	•
5	<b>Q</b> One of the reasons you retained Kalbian	10:44
6	and Hagerty, Mr. So, was to assist you in connection	
7	with the case against HSBC in England; isn't that	
8	true?	
9	A What happened at that time was that to	
10	retain the reason to retain Kalbian and Hagerty	10:46
11	was because at that time Suchanek told me that	
12	another law firm would be needed to assist the case.	
13	And later on the attorney from Denver said well,	
14	what happened was Suchanek recommended an attorney	
15	from Denver but later on this attorney from	10:47
16	Denver said, since Suchanek had already provided	
17	legal opinion to the British court, therefore,	
1,8	Suchanek would no longer be qualified to be my legal	
19	representative.	
20	Therefore, Kalbian and Hagerty Law	10:47
21	Firm was retained because Suchanek wanted to add a	
22	new law firm to assist, and Suchanek recommended	
23	Kalbian and Hagerty. Later on we learned from the	
24	attorney in Denver something. The attorney in	
25	Denver told us that the Denver attorney told	10:51

1	Suchanek that at that time Suchanek still wanted to	10:51
2	represent Kevin So.	
3	However, the Denver attorney said to	
4	Suchanek, "You had already provided letter of	
, 5	opinion to the British court. Therefore, you are no	10:51
6	longer qualified to be a legal representative."	
7	Therefore, he didn't want to do it anymore. That's	
8 .	when we learned.	
9	Q At the time of this letter	
10	October 14th, 2007 Lucy Lu was also being	10:52
11	represented by Kalbian and Hagerty; correct?	
12	MR. KALBIAN: Object to the form of the	
13	question.	
14	THE WITNESS: What was your question?	
15	MR. THIBODO: Read back my question,	10:53
16	please.	-
17	(The record was read back as requested.)	
18	THE WITNESS: I don't know.	
19	BY MR. THIBODO:	
20	${f Q}$ One of the things that Kalbian and Hagerty 1	10:53
21	was retained to represent you with was litigation of	
22	a lawsuit in the southern in the U.S. District	
23	Court for the Southern District of New York; is that	
.24	correct, Mr. So?	
25	A Many cases were initiated by Suchanek. I	0:54

1	A	In the beginning I learned that she was an	15:54
2	assistant	to Suchanek.	
3	Q	Have you ever met Mira Meltzer?	+
4	A	Yes.	
5	Q	In person?	15:54
6	A	Yes.	
7	Q	When?	
8	A	The end of last year.	
9	Q	Where?	
10	A	In the courtroom in Washington, D.C.	15:55
11	Q	Is that the only time you have met	
12	Mrs. Meltz	zer in person?	
13	A	This is all I can think of right now.	
14	Q	When you met Mrs. Meltzer at the courtroom	
15	in D.C., w	was that part of the lawsuit against the	15:55
16	judge?		
17	A	Yes.	
18	Q	Did you two speak at that time?	
19	A	No, not during that time.	
20	Q	Have you ever spoken personally with Mira	15:56
21	Meltzer?		
22		MR. KALBIAN: In person? Is that the	
23	question?		
24		MR. BEAUDOIN: In person.	
25		MR. KALBIAN: Thank you.	15:56

Page 248

1	THE WITNESS: In person, no.	15:57
2	BY MR. BEAUDOIN:	
3	<b>Q</b> Have you ever known Mira Meltzer in any	-
4	capacity other than Judge Suchanek's assistant?	
5	MR. KALBIAN: Objection to form. You can	15:57
6	answer.	
7	THE WITNESS: I don't understand the	
8	question.	
9	BY MR. BEAUDOIN:	THE STATE OF THE S
10	$oldsymbol{Q}$ You understood Mira Meltzer was the	15:58
11	Judge's assistant; correct?	
12	A Yes.	
13	${f Q}$ To your knowledge did Mira Meltzer have	
14	any other profession?	
15	A She is a key manager at KM & A Company.	15:58
16	$oldsymbol{Q}$ Do you have any understanding as to what	-
17	KM & A Company does?	
18	MR. KALBIAN: I would caution you the same	
19	way I cautioned you earlier. If the sole basis of	
20	your knowledge to answer that question is	15:59
21	information that you learned from Counsel, I'd	
22	instruct you not to answer. But if you have any	
23	knowledge that's independent of that, you may	
24	answer.	
25	THE WITNESS: My information came from my	16:00

1	attorneys.	16:00
2	BY MR. BEAUDOIN:	
3	Q Have you ever emailed Mira Meltzer?	
4	A Yes, I have.	
5	${f Q}$ On those occasions that you emailed Mira	16:00
6	Meltzer, did you have somebody assist you in	
7	translating your email into English?	
8	A Yes.	-
9	Q Would the same person help you translate	
10	your emails to Mira Meltzer every time that you	16:01
11	emailed her?	WWW.
12	A No.	
13	$oldsymbol{Q}$ Which people assisted you in translating	
14	your emails to Mira Meltzer?	
15	A My partner, Wang Birui, has done that, and	16:02
16	some other interpreters have done that.	WITTERSTAND OF THE PERSON OF T
17	$oldsymbol{Q}$ Can you recall the names of any of these	
18	other interpreters?	
19	${f A}$ I am not able to think of the names right	
20	now because it's not always the same one.	16:02
21	<b>Q</b> Have you ever discussed the Private	
22	Placement Project strike that. Have you ever	***************************************
23	discussed the Michael Brown Project with Mira	
24	Meltzer?	
25	MR. KALBIAN: Objection. Are you defining	16:03

1	THE WITNESS: About the 30 million	16:06
2	investment in England, Suchanek was my attorney, and	***************************************
3	Mira was Suchanek's assistant. Therefore, Mira	***************************************
4	Meltzer was quite familiar with the investment	
5	information.	16:06
6	BY MR. BEAUDOIN:	
7	Q Accepted. Did Mira Meltzer ever give you	
8	investment advice?	
9	A I don't recall.	
10	<b>Q</b> Did Mira Meltzer ever advise you as to	16:07
11	whether you should invest money with Michael Brown?	
12	A I don't recall.	
13	$oldsymbol{Q}$ Do you recall if Mira Meltzer ever advised	
14	you to do business with Land Base?	
15	${f A}$ In 2007, Mira took the initiative to put	16:10
16	people from Land Base to be in touch with me.	WWW
17	Several times in early part of 2007, Mira put people	
18	from Land Base Boris Lopatin, Woodhead, and	
19	Kim tried several times to send them to Hong Kong	
20	to meet with me. When Boris Lopatin, Woodhead and	16:10
21	Cameron were in Hong Kong, they introduced me to a	
22	lot of their projects. Some had already been	
23	successfully completed, and some were about to	
24	start.	
25	Those projects include many projects	16:12

1	that they many projects that would take place in	16:12
2	Thailand, a city that they were going to build, and	
3	also oil tube connecting Thailand to China and many	
4	projects in Europe. They were also talking about	
5	purchasing a big office building for their office in	16:12
6	Hong Kong.	
7	During the time I was meeting with	
8	people from Land Base, Cameron told me that the	
9	telephone they were receiving or calling out were	
10	phone calls with Suchanek and Mira Meltzer. Just by	16:14
11	observing their expression, they all seemed to be	
12	very happy on the phone, talking. In addition	
13	Cameron also told me that Suchanek is a very	
14	powerful person. One time Cameron accidentally	
15	called him by his first name. For that Suchanek was	16:15
16	upset with Cameron for a long time and	
17	MR. BEAUDOIN: I need to cut in here. My	
18	question was has Mira Meltzer ever advised you to do	
19	business with Land Base? I'm not hearing a	
20	response. We're talking about somebody named	16:15
21	Cameron calling the judge by his name.	
22	MR. KALBIAN: Who was with Boris	
23	Lopatin I think was his testimony who was Land	
24	Base. He's giving you the answer. You may not like	
25	the answer, but that's not a reason to cut in.	16:16

1	arrange time to meet with us.	16:30
2	And that went on for one year. So my	3
3	understanding is for one year Boris Lopatin didn't	
4	have time to come to meet with us. So finally when	**************************************
. 5	Boris Lopatin had the time to come to meet with us,	16:30
6	I was happy thinking that he would tell us more	
7	about the litigation. I never anticipated that he	
8	came over here wanting to talk to us about the	1
9	projects.	#
10	<b>Q</b> Is it your understanding that you have	16:31
1.1	sued Mira Meltzer in this lawsuit?	
12	A Yes.	
13	<b>Q</b> Why have you sued Mira Meltzer?	
14	A That's because Mira Meltzer is a key	
15	manager at KM & A Company. I invested 30 million	16:32
16	principal in the investment, and the so-called	i internatività di la constitució de
17	profit by those cohorts were taken away by KM & A	
18	Company. Mira being a key manager of KM & A, she	
19	benefited from that money.	
20	Q Has Mira Meltzer in your opinion ever lied	16:33
21	to you?	
22	MR. KALBIAN: Objection to form. Also	
23	calls for speculation.	
24	THE WITNESS: I don't want to speculate.	
25	11111	16:33

You a	16-40
	16:48
	,
those questions are privileged is if you were in	
fact work was done because it was a prefatory	
question to determine whether or not there had been	16:48
work done by the attorneys.	
MR. KALBIAN: You talking to me?	,
MR. DONOVAN: Yeah. So	
MR. KALBIAN: I'm not going to debate this	
on the record. You heard my objection. You got his	16:48
answer. He's not going to answer. Ask your next	
question so we can all go home. I'm not going to	
debate it.	
BY MR. DONOVAN:	
Q Mr. So, isn't it true that your testimony	16:48
about Dr. Kondas or your opinions about Dr. Kondas	
that you offered in this deposition are based upon	
the investigation of Counsel?	
MR. KALBIAN: Same objection. If you can	
answer that question without implicating any	16:49
communications you had with your lawyers, you may.	t.
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
	16:49
	.0143
	question to determine whether or not there had been work done by the attorneys.  MR. KALBIAN: You talking to me?  MR. DONOVAN: Yeah. So  MR. KALBIAN: I'm not going to debate this on the record. You heard my objection. You got his answer. He's not going to answer. Ask your next question so we can all go home. I'm not going to debate it.  BY MR. DONOVAN:  Q Mr. So, isn't it true that your testimony about Dr. Kondas that you offered in this deposition are based upon the investigation of Counsel?  MR. KALBIAN: Same objection. If you can